

1 DEC 13 PAGE 10  
2

3 No. 91-860  
4 In The  
5 Supreme Court of the United States  
6 October Term, 1991

7 (9)  
8 RECEIVED  
9 DEC 5 1991  
10 OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
11 SUPREME COURT U.S.  
12

13 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE; ROBERT A.  
14 MOSBACHER, Secretary of the United States Department of Commerce; BUREAU  
15 OF THE CENSUS; BARBARA EVERITT BRYANT, Director of the Bureau of the  
16 Census; and DONNALD K. ANDERSON, Clerk of the United States House of  
17 Representatives,

18 Appellants,

19 vs.

20 THE STATE OF MONTANA; STAN STEPHENS, Governor of the State of  
21 Montana; MARC RACICOT, Attorney General for the State of Montana; MIKE  
22 COONEY, Secretary of State for the State of Montana; MAX BAUCUS, United  
23 States Senator; CONRAD BURNS, United States Senator; PAT WILLIAMS, United  
24 States Representative; and RON MARLENEE, United States Representative.

25 Appellees.

26 ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
27 FOR THE DISTRICT OF MONTANA

16  
17 MOTION FOR EXPEDITED BRIEFING SCHEDULE  
18 AND ORAL ARGUMENT  
19

20 MARC RACICOT\*  
21 Attorney General of Montana  
22 CLAY R. SMITH  
23 Solicitor  
24 ELIZABETH S. BAKER  
25 Assistant Attorney General  
State of Montana  
Justice Building  
215 North Sanders  
Helena MT 59620-1401  
(406) 444-2026

26 \*Counsel of Record  
27

3 (pp)

MOTION FOR EXPEDITED BRIEFING SCHEDULE  
AND ORAL ARGUMENT

COME NOW the appellees, by and through their counsel of record, and respectfully move for an expedited briefing schedule and oral argument should probable jurisdiction be noted and this matter set for plenary consideration on the merits.

This motion is made on the grounds that the case presents an issue of paramount importance concerning the composition of the United States House of Representatives, that the membership of the House is in question for the 103d Congress and for subsequent Congresses, and that the question is capable of resolution and should be resolved prior to the 1992 elections for United States Representatives.

Appellees have contacted counsel for the appellants in this matter, and they do not oppose this request. Should the Court note probable jurisdiction, and its calendar permits, appellees request an oral argument date on the February, 1992, argument calendar. The parties are willing to abide by the following briefing schedule:

Opening brief of appellants: January 15, 1992

Response brief of appellees: February 12, 1992

Reply brief of appellants: February 21, 1992

Respectfully submitted this 4<sup>th</sup> day of December, 1991.

Marc Léveillé

MARC RACICOT  
Attorney General  
State of Montana  
CLAY R. SMITH  
Solicitor  
ELIZABETH S. BAKER  
Assistant Attorney General  
Justice Building  
215 North Sanders  
Helena MT 59620-1401

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I mailed a true and accurate copy of the foregoing, postage prepaid, by U.S. mail, to the following:

Steven R. Ross  
General Counsel to the Clerk  
Michael L. Murray  
Senior Asst. Counsel to the Clerk  
U.S. House of Representatives  
The Capitol, H-112  
Washington D.C. 20515

9 Kenneth O. Eikenberry  
10 Attorney General  
11 James Johnson  
12 Senior Assistant Attorney General  
13 Office of the Attorney General  
14 Seventh Floor, Highway-Licenses Building  
15 Olympia WA 98504

I further certify that I mailed, via federal express, a true and accurate copy of the foregoing to the following:

Kenneth W. Starr  
Solicitor General  
Edwin S. Kneedler  
Assistant to the Solicitor General  
United States Department of Justice  
Washington D.C. 20530

DATED: 7/31/1991

Myna L. Rudio